Elucidating the Molecular Mechanism of Action of the First-in-Human SMARCA2 **Selective Degrader PRT3789**

Min Wang¹, Miles Cowart¹, Joy Cote¹, Justin Kurian¹, Stephanie Rodgers¹, Chaoyi Xu¹, Corey Basch¹, Philip Pitis¹, Klare Bersch¹, Raul Leal¹, Liang Lu¹, Michael Hulse¹, Jack Carter¹, Andrew Moore¹, Alexander Grego¹, Joseph Rager¹, Tom Emm¹, Koichi Ito¹, Neha Bhagwat¹, Andrew Combs¹, Sandy Geeganage¹, Peggy Scherle¹.

Prelude Therapeutics Incorporated, Wilmington, DE; contact: mwang@preludetx.com

Background

- The SWI/SNF family of chromatin-remodeling complexes is frequently dysregulated in multiple tumor types, resulting in aberrant expression of genes. SMARCA4-deficient cells become highly dependent on the other catalytic subunit, SMARCA2 (BRM), for their survival¹. Therefore, selective degradation of SMARCA2 has therapeutic potential in these cancers.²
- PRT3789 is a first-in-human SMARCA2 degrader that can selectively induce deep SMARCA2 degradation in preclinical and clinical studies.
- PRT3789 is currently under evaluation in Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies in patients with advanced solid tumors with loss of SMARCA4 (NCT05639751 and NCT06682806).



Key Findings

- PRT3789 is a first-in-human SMARCA2 degrader that can selectively induce deep SMARCA2 degradation in preclinical and clinical studies.³
- Mechanistic studies suggested that PRT3789 induces unique ubiquitination on lysine 1405 residue in SMARCA2.
- Although SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 share high sequence similarity, our studies revealed that the extended loop containing K1405 in SMARCA2 plays a key role in the selective SMARCA2 degradation induced by PRT3789.
- SMARCA2/4 resynthesis studies in multiple cancer cell lines indicated that SMARCA4 is recovered 2-3 times faster than SMARCA2.
- A targeted protein degradation approach has the potential to drug previously undruggable targets. In addition, it can be used to enhance selectivity between proteins with high homology through optimizing of binding, ternary complex formation, ubiquitination rates, and taking advantage of differences in their resynthesis rates.

References . Fernando, TM, et al., Nat Commun. 2020;11:5551. 2. Ito K, et al., Cancer Res. 2021;81(13 Supplement):1139. 3. Yap, T. A., et al. European Journal of Cancer 211 (2024): 114530

Acknowledgments The following CROs/Institutions provided data for this study: Viva biotech (structural biology); Lifesensor Inc (Ubiquitination profiling); Reaction biology Corp(Bromodomain panel screen). Editorial support was provided by Arne Fabritius, Endosymbiont GmbH and was funded by Prelude Therapeutics, Inc.

Disclosures

Therapeutics, Incorporated.

1.Authors are or were employees of Prelude Therapeutics, Inc. at the time of research and may own equity in the Compan Editorial support provided by Arne Fabritius, of Endosymbiont GmbH and was funded by Prelude







a.	PRT3789
	SMARCA
b.	SPR sens
	kinetics s
C.	TR-FRET
d.	Protein-p
	H-bond be
	with VHL



Bromodomain sequence alignment between SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 showed high similarity (74% identity) Structure of PRT3789 and x-ray structure of PRT3789 human SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 complexed with human VHL: Elongin C: Elongin B (VCB) PRT3789 profile in cell free and cellular analysis

d. PRT3789 is selective for bromodomain subfamily VIII in a panel of Bromo domain DSF assays.

Figure 2. Biophysical characterization of ternary complex kinetics of PRT3789 revealed higher ternary complex stability for SMARCA2 than SMARCA4

Target	Binding	SPR		
	Mode	k _{on} X10⁵ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)	k _{off} x10⁻² (s⁻¹)	К _d (nM)
MARCA2 -	Binary	6.8 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.7	46.9 ± 5.2
	Ternary	1.8 ± 0.2	7.8 ± 1.0	437 ± 72
MARCA4 -	Binary	5.9 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.8	49.3 ± 7.0
	Ternary	1.8 ± 0.1	17.1 ± 1.9	960 ± 114

binding data for PRT3789 (binary) and PRT3789:VHL complexes (ternary) binding to immobilized A2 or SMARCA4 (isolated bromodomain)

sorgrams for PRT3789 induced SMARCA2:VCB and SMARCA4:VCB complexes reveal different binding suggesting SMARCA2:PRT3789:VHL complex dissociate slower than SMARCA4 complex proximity assay for SMARCA2 or SMARCA4 and VCB ternary complex formation

protein interfaces (PPIs) between SMARCA2/4 and VHL. For SMARCA4, the PPI with VHL is stabilized by a between Leu1545 with Asp92 in VHL. While the SMARCA2 bromodomain forms more extensive interactions in the structure, as demonstrated by a 9-fold increase in PPI area





PRT3789 MARCA2 HiBiT 0.7 nM
 DC₅₀ (D_{max})
 (93%)

 SMARCA4 HiBiT
 26 nM
DC₅₀ (D_{max}) (82%) SMARCA2 SMACA2 in Calu-6



a. Western blot time course of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 resynthesis in Calu-6 human lung cancer cell line. The cells were treated with potent SMARCA2/4 dual degrader or DMSO for 24 hours and then washed three times before allowing to recover for up to 72 hours. b. SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 resynthesis in Hela SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 HiBiT cell lines. The cells were treated with potent SMARCA2/4 dual degrader or DMSO for 24 hours and then washed three times before allowing to recover for 26 hours.

Conclusions

- SMARCA2 and VHL than SMARCA4 and VHL.
- PRT3789.

additional interaction with VHL Arg69, compared to the 28 Å² in the SMARCA4:VHL complex.



Figure 5. PRT3789 orients the K1405 loop towards the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (RBX1). In comparison, nonselective SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 dual degraders, ACBI1 and AU15330, orientate this loop far away from RBX1



Figure 6. SMARCA4 resynthesis is at least 2 times faster than SMARCA2 as demonstrated in multiple cell lines

• PRT3789 selectively degrades SMARCA2 over SMARCA4 by 37fold and over 4500-fold greater antiproliferative selectivity observed in SMARCA4-deficient cells compared to SMARCA4 wild-type cells. PRT3789 induces a more stable ternary complex between

• K1405 loop in SMARCA2 not only provides unique lysine residue for ubiquitination but also stabilizes the SMARCA2:VHL complex to facilitate more efficient ubiquitination on SMARCA2.

SMARCA2 resynthesis rate is 2-3 times slower than SMARCA4, thereby contributing to the SMARCA2 selective degradation by